

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Monday - 14 June 1971

Page 2

25X1A

3. [] reported on a call he received from John Holdridge, of the White House staff. When the Administration contacted Senator Len B. Jordan (R., Idaho) to solicit his vote on the Hatfield/McGovern bill, the Senator expressed concern about alleged CIA incursions into China (and also about reports of Lao irregulars cutting off the ears of their adversaries). Since Senator Jordan appears to have predicated his response on the vote to a reply on these points, Holdridge has asked if we would be willing to straighten these matters out with the Senator tomorrow.

25X1

4. [] Met with Mr. Philip Trimble, Consultant, Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and delivered to him a letter of 14 June enclosing a statement concerning recent Soviet ICBM developments. After review, Mr. Trimble will attach the letter and enclosure to the inside rear cover of the transcript of the Director's briefing of the Committee of 29 March 1971.

25X1

5. [] Met with Mr. John R. Blandford, Chief Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, and discussed the Agency's proposed response to the Chairman concerning H.R. 3578. Mr. Blandford noted that this would be a chance, if we cared to avail ourselves of it, to put ourselves in writing that the Agency operates under the direction of the National Security Council and reports directly to the National Security Council and to the President. I thanked Mr. Blandford for the observation. I brought him up to date on a briefing of the Senate Appropriations Committee on Friday and our recent contacts with Senate and House members concerning the "Ervin bill." I briefed Mr. Blandford on the following items: Soviet "J" vehicle; the Salyut mission; a surface-to-surface missile support facility; North Vietnamese General Tuyen Huan; and Chinese Mao-class submarines.

25X1

25X1

6. [] Pat Holt, Senate Foreign Relations Committee staff, called to clear a proposed public statement for the record regarding the Director's testimony [] before the Latin America Subcommittee on 5 May. Holt proposed that the public record simply reveal that the Director had appeared, but the substance of his testimony would be "completely deleted." I agreed.

SECRET

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/01/14 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000200040022-2

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505**

14 June 1971

Mr. Philip Trimble, Consultant
Subcommittee on Arms Control,
International Law and Organization
Senate Committee on Foreign Relations
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Trimble:

In accordance with our conversation on 10 June, I enclose herewith a statement concerning recent Soviet ICEM developments setting forth the agreed views of the Central Intelligence Agency and the Defense Intelligence Agency.

If the Subcommittee thinks it appropriate, this statement may be included in, and serve to update, the record of Mr. Helms' testimony on this subject before the Subcommittee on 29 March 1971.

Sincerely,



John M. Maury
Legislative Counsel

25X1A

Enclosure

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/01/14 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000200040022-2

SECRET

There is no disagreement between the CIA and the DIA concerning new Soviet ICBM developments. Both agencies agree fully that there is not one, but two, different ICBM programs involved in the activity presently underway in the Soviet Union.

Evidence now available does not permit a conclusion on the kind of missiles that will be deployed in the new silos. There are at least these possibilities and combinations: The SS-9 and the SS-11 could be deployed; a modified version of these systems could be installed; or new missile systems could be deployed. Regardless of the prospective use of either type silo, the evidence indicates the new silos will be harder than existing Soviet ICBM silos.

SECRET